arXiv:1610.00247v3 [math.NT] 8 Dec 2016

THE LARGE k-TERM PROGRESSION-FREE SETS IN $\mathbb{Z}_q^n$

HONGZE LI

In memory of Professor Chengdong Pan

ABSTRACT. Let k and n be fixed positive integers. For each prime power $q \geqslant k \geqslant 3$, we show that any subset $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_q^n$ free of k-term arithmetic progressions has size $|A| \leqslant c_k(q)^n$ with a constant $c_k(q)$ that can be expressed explicitly in terms of k and q. As a consequence, we can take $c_k(q) = 0.8415q$ for sufficiently large q and arbitrarily fixed $k \geqslant 3$.

1. Introduction

In his famous papers [9],[10], Roth first considered the problem of finding upper bounds for the size of large subset of $\{1, 2, ..., N\}$ with no three-term arithmetic progression, and gave the first nontrivial upper bound. Since then, this problem has received considerable attentions by number theorists. Let $r_3(N)$ denote the maximal size of a subset of $\{1, 2, ..., N\}$ with no three-term arithmetic progression. Roth indeed proved $r_3(N) = O(N/\log\log N)$. This was subsequently improved and enhanced by Heath-Brown [7], Szemerédi [14], Bourgain [3], Sanders [12], [13], and Bloom [2]. The best result so far is $r_3(N) = O(N(\log\log N)^4/\log N)$, due to Bloom.

For an (additively written) abelian group G, we say that a subset A of G is k-term *progression-free* if there do not exist $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k \in A$ such that $a_k - a_{k-1} = a_{k-1} - a_{k-2} = \ldots = a_2 - a_1 \neq 0$, and denote by $r_k(G)$ the maximal size of k-term progression-free subsets of G.

In [4], Brown and Buhler first proved that $r_3(\mathbb{Z}_3^n) = o(3^n)$, and this was quantified by Meshulam [8] to $r_3(\mathbb{Z}_3^n) = O(3^n/n)$. In their ground-breaking paper, Bateman and Katz [1] proved that $r_3(\mathbb{Z}_3^n) = O(3^n/n^{1+\eta})$ with some positive constant $\eta > 0$. The best known upper bound, $o(2.756^n)$, is due to Ellenberg and Gijswijt [6]. Especially, they proved that, for any prime $p \geqslant 3$, there exists a positive constant $c = c(p) < p$ such that $r_3(\mathbb{Z}_p^n) = o(c^n)$. For the upper bound of $r_3(\mathbb{Z}_4^n)$, Sanders [11] proved that $r_3(\mathbb{Z}_4^n) = O(4^n/n(\log n)^\eta)$ with an absolute constant $\eta > 0$. Quite recently, Croot, Lev and Pach [5] developed the polynomial method and drastically improved the above upper bound to $r_3(\mathbb{Z}_4^n) \leqslant 4^{0.926n}$ in their breakthrough paper.

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11271249 and No. 11671253).

For each positive integer m, define

$$\mathfrak{A}(m)=\min_{x\in(0,1)}\frac{(1-x^m)}{m(1-x)x^{\frac{m-1}{3}}}. \tag{1.1}$$

In this paper, we introduce a formal polynomial method and establish the following upper bound of $r_k(\mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}^n)$ for $p\geqslant 2$ and $k\geqslant 3$.

Theorem 1.1. *For any prime powers $q=p^\alpha\geqslant k\geqslant 3$ and $n\geqslant 1$, we have*

$$r_k(\mathbb{Z}_q^n)\leqslant\Big(q\cdot\mathfrak{A}\Big(\frac{q}{(L_k,q)}\Big)\Big)^n,$$

where $\mathfrak{A}(\cdot)$ is given by (1.1) and L_k denotes the l.c.m. of $2,3,\dots,k-1$.

Corollary 1.1.

(1) *For $k\geqslant 3$ and large q, $r_k(\mathbb{Z}_q^n)\leqslant(0.8415q)^n$.*
(2) *For $k\geqslant 3$ and each $q>(L_k,q)$, $r_k(\mathbb{Z}_q^n)\leqslant(0.945q)^n$.*

Notation. Throughout this paper, p with or without subscript, is always reserved for primes. Denote by (a,b) the greatest common divisor of a and b, $L_t:=[2,3,...,t-1]$ the least common multiple of $2,3,\dots,t-1$. For a set S, denote by $|S|$ the cardinality of S, and define $mS:=\{ms:\ s\in S\}$.

Acknowledgement. The author is very grateful to Ping Xi for his valuable suggestions and comments.

2. Some Lemmas

Throughout this section, we fix $n\geqslant 1$ and $q=p^\alpha\geqslant k\geqslant 3$.

Given a positive integer m, the unknown Y is said to be a generator of order m, if $Y^0=Y^m=1$ and $Y^j\neq 1$ for $1\leqslant j\leqslant m-1$. For $1\leqslant i\leqslant n$, let Y_i be generators of order q, then $Y_i^q=1$, and

$$\prod_{i=1}^n Y_i^{\lambda_i}=1 \text{ if and only if } \lambda_i\equiv 0\,(\mathrm{mod}\,q) \text{ for each } 1\leqslant i\leqslant n.$$

For $1\leqslant i\leqslant n$, put $X_i=Y_i-1$. Let $\mathbb{Z}_p[X_1,\dots,X_n]$ denote the linear space spanned by monomials $\{X_1^{\lambda_1}\cdots X_n^{\lambda_n}:\ 0\leqslant\lambda_i\in\mathbb{Z}\}$ with coefficients over $\mathbb{Z}_p$. $F[X_1,\dots,X_n]=0$ means all coefficients of $F[X_1,\dots,X_n]$ is 0 over $\mathbb{Z}_p$. We thus have $Y_i^q=(X_i+1)^q=X_i^q+1$, which gives $X_i^q=0$ since Y_i is of order q. Hence it is reasonable to assume that the terms of $X_1^{\lambda_1}\cdots X_n^{\lambda_n}$ vanish if $\lambda_i\geqslant q$ for some $1\leqslant i\leqslant n$.

For $0<\alpha<1/2$, define

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\alpha,q}:=\left\{(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\dots,\lambda_n)\in[0,q)^n\cap\mathbb{Z}^n:\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{\lambda_i}{q-1}\leqslant\alpha n\right\} \tag{2.1}$$

and $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{\alpha,q} = ([0,q)^n \cap \mathbb{Z}^n) \setminus \mathfrak{M}_{\alpha,q}$ denotes the complementary set. It is clear that $|\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{\alpha,q}| = |\mathfrak{M}_{1-\alpha,q}|$.

For $c = (c_1, \ldots, c_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$, and $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$, define

$$\mathbf{X}^c := \prod_{i=1}^{n} X_i^{c_i}.$$

For $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$, one can define $a_i, b_i, \mathbf{X}^a, \mathbf{X}^b$ accordingly.

For a set $B \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_q^n$, let V_B denote the sub-space spanned by $\{\mathbf{X}^a : a \in B\}$ over $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Then $\dim V_B = |B|$. When $B = \mathbb{Z}_q^n$ is the whole space, we write $V_{\mathbb{Z}_q^n} = V$ and thus $\dim V = q^n$. For each $f \in V$, we may write

$$f = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n} f(a)\mathbf{X}^a$$

with coefficients $f(a), a \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose $k \geqslant 3$ and $0 < \alpha < 1/2$. Let A be a subset of $\mathbb{Z}_q^n$ satisfies $ra \neq rb$ for $a \neq b \in A$ with $1 \leqslant r \leqslant k-1$. Suppose $P \in V_{\mathfrak{M}_{2\alpha,q}}$ satisfies $P(2a-b)P(3a-2b)\cdots P((k-1)a-(k-2)b) = 0$ for every pair a, b of distinct elements in A. Then there exists an element $c \in A$ such that $P(c) = 0$ when $|A| > 2^{k-2}\,|\mathfrak{M}_{\alpha,q}|$.*

Proof. For brevity, we only prove the lemma for $k = 4$, and the method also works in the general case.

For $0 < \alpha < 1/2$, put $m_\alpha = \left\{\mathbf{X}^\lambda : \lambda \in \mathfrak{M}_{\alpha,q}\right\}$, so we can write

$$P(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{fg \in m_{2\alpha}} \sum c_{f,g} f(\mathbf{X}) g(\mathbf{X}).$$

In each term of the summand, at least one of f and g is in m_α. Hence

$$P(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{f \in m_\alpha} f(\mathbf{X}) F_f(\mathbf{X}) + \sum_{g \in m_\alpha} g(\mathbf{X}) G_g(\mathbf{X}).$$

We thus have

$$\begin{aligned} P(\mathbf{X})P(\mathbf{Y}) = &\sum_{f,f_1 \in m_\alpha} \sum f(\mathbf{X}) f_1(\mathbf{Y}) F_f(\mathbf{X}) F_{f_1}(\mathbf{Y}) \\ &+ \sum_{g,g_1 \in m_\alpha} \sum g(\mathbf{X}) g_1(\mathbf{Y}) G_g(\mathbf{X}) G_{g_1}(\mathbf{Y}) \\ &+ \sum_{f,g_1 \in m_\alpha} \sum f(\mathbf{X}) g_1(\mathbf{Y}) F_f(\mathbf{X}) G_{g_1}(\mathbf{Y}) \\ &+ \sum_{f_1,g \in m_\alpha} \sum f_1(\mathbf{X}) g(\mathbf{Y}) F_{f_1}(\mathbf{X}) G_g(\mathbf{Y}) \end{aligned}$$

for some families of polynomials F, G indexed by m_α.

Write $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_t\}$. Now let B be the $t \times t$ matrix whose i, j entry is $P(2a_i - a_j)P(3a_i - 2a_j)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} B_{ij} = &\sum_{f,f_1 \in m_\alpha} \sum f(2a_i) f_1(3a_i) F_f(-a_j) F_{f_1}(-2a_j) \\ &+ \sum_{g,g_1 \in m_\alpha} \sum G_g(2a_i) G_{g_1}(3a_i) g(-a_j) g_1(-2a_j) \\ &+ \sum_{f,g_1 \in m_\alpha} \sum f(2a_i) G_{g_1}(3a_i) F_f(-a_j) g_1(-2a_j) \\ &+ \sum_{f_1,g \in m_\alpha} \sum G_g(2a_i) f_1(3a_i) g(-a_j) F_{f_1}(-2a_j) \\ = &\, B_{ij}^{(1)} + B_{ij}^{(2)} + B_{ij}^{(3)} + B_{ij}^{(4)}, \end{aligned}$$

say. Hence $(B_{ij}^{(s)})$ is a sum of at most $|\mathfrak{M}_{\alpha,q}|^2$ matrices for each s. One may see that each matrix in $(B_{ij}^{(1)})$ has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} f(2a_1) f_1(3a_1) \\ f(2a_2) f_1(3a_2) \\ \cdots \\ f(2a_t) f_1(3a_t) \end{pmatrix} (F_f(-a_1) F_{f_1}(-2a_1),\ F_f(-a_2) F_{f_1}(-2a_2), \cdots, F_f(-a_t) F_{f_1}(-2a_t))$$

and of rank 1 or 0; the rank is 0 unless there exists some a_i such that $f(2a_i) f_1(3a_i) = 1$, and the number of such a_i is at most $|\mathfrak{M}_{\alpha,q}|$. This yields the rank of $(B_{ij}^{(1)})$ is at most $|\mathfrak{M}_{\alpha,q}|$. Similarly, one can show that the rank of $(B_{ij}^{(2)})$ is also at most $|\mathfrak{M}_{\alpha,q}|$.

Regarding $(B_{ij}^{(3)})$, each of the $|\mathfrak{M}_{\alpha,q}|^2$ matrices has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} f(2a_1) G_{g_1}(3a_1) \\ f(2a_2) G_{g_1}(3a_2) \\ \cdots \\ f(2a_t) G_{g_1}(3a_t) \end{pmatrix} (F_f(-a_1) g_1(-2a_1),\ F_f(-a_2) g_1(-2a_2), \cdots, F_f(-a_t) g_1(-2a_t))$$

and of rank 1 or 0; the rank is 0 unless there exists some a_i and a_j such that $f(2a_i) = 1$ and $g_1(-2a_j) = 1$, then this matrix has only one non-zero element. The number of such a_i is at most $|\mathfrak{M}_{\alpha,q}|$, hence the row rank of $(B_{ij}^{(3)})$ is also at most $|\mathfrak{M}_{\alpha,q}|$, which also applies similarly to $(B_{ij}^{(4)})$. Thus the rank of B is at most $4|\mathfrak{M}_{\alpha,q}|$.

On the other hand, by the hypothesis on P, B must be a diagonal matrix. This completes the proof. □

Lemma 2.2. *Let $q \geqslant k \geqslant 3$, A a subset of $\mathbb{Z}_q^n$ which doesn't contain k-term arithmetic progressions. Then we have*

$$|A| \leqslant (2^{k-2}+1)d^n|\mathfrak{M}_{1/3,q/d}|, \tag{2.2}$$

where $d = (L_k, q)$.

Proof. Suppose $t \geqslant 3$ and $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_t$ is a non-trivial t-term arithmetic progression, then

$$b_t - b_{t-1} = b_{t-1} - b_{t-2} = \cdots = b_2 - b_1 \neq 0,$$

and

$$b_j = b_1 + (j-1)(b_2 - b_1) = (j-1)b_2 - (j-2)b_1, \quad 3 \leqslant j \leqslant t. \tag{2.3}$$

Hence each non-trivial t-term arithmetic progression $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_t$ is determined by b_2 and b_1 only, taking the order into account.

Let F be the kernel of the homomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}_q^n$ defined by $g \mapsto \frac{q}{(L_k,q)}g$ $(g \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n)$, then

$$F = (L_k, q)\mathbb{Z}_q^n \cong \mathbb{Z}^n_{\frac{q}{(L_k,q)}},$$

and

$$\mathbb{Z}_q^n / F \cong \mathbb{Z}^n_{(L_k,q)}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{R}$ be the set of all F-cosets, we write $\mathfrak{R} = \{R_1, R_2, ..., R_{(L_k,q)^n}\}$. For $1 \leqslant j \leqslant (L_k, q)^n$, let $A_j := A \bigcap R_j$, we choose one element $r_j \in A_j$, and then we have

$$R_j = r_j + F.$$

Without loss of generality, we consider A_1. First, we have

$$(A_1 - r_1) \bigcap R_1 \subseteq F = (L_k, q)\mathbb{Z}_q^n \cong \mathbb{Z}^n_{\frac{q}{(L_k,q)}}.$$

Therefore A_1 doesn't contain any k-term arithmetic progression. Define B by

$$A_1 - r_1 = (L_k, q)B, \;\; B \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_q^n.$$

Hence B doesn't contain any k-term arithmetic progression and satisfies $ra \neq rb$ for $a \neq b \in B$ with $1 \leqslant r \leqslant k-1$.

We shall prove that $|B| \leqslant (2^{k-2}+1)|\mathfrak{M}_{1/3,q/(L_k,q)}|$, which would yield

$$|A| \leqslant (L_k, q)^n|B| \leqslant (2^{k-2}+1)(L_k, q)^n|\mathfrak{M}_{1/3,q/(L_k,q)}|. \tag{2.4}$$

Assuming, contrary to what we want to prove, that $|B| > (2^{k-2}+1)|\mathfrak{M}_{1/3,q/(L_k,q)}|$. Let W denote the linear space spanned by $\{\mathbf{X}^\lambda : \lambda \in B \bigcap \mathfrak{M}_{2/3,\, q/(L_k,q)}\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\dim W &\geqslant |\mathfrak{M}_{2/3,\, q/(L_k,q)}| + |B| - (q/(L_k,q))^n \\ &= |B| - \{(q/(L_k,q))^n - |\mathfrak{M}_{2/3,\, q/(L_k,q)}|\} \\ &= |B| - |\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{2/3,\, q/(L_k,q)}| \\ &= |B| - |\mathfrak{M}_{1/3,q/(L_k,q)}| \\ &> 2^{k-2}|\mathfrak{M}_{1/3,q/(L_k,q)}|.\end{aligned}$$

We can choose some $b_i \in B$ such that

$$P := \mathbf{X}^{b_1} + \mathbf{X}^{b_2} + \cdots + \mathbf{X}^{b_t} \in W \subseteq V_{\mathfrak{M}_{2/3,\, q/(L_k,q)}}.$$

Let

$$B_1 := \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_t\} \subseteq B.$$

By assumption we have $P(2a-b)P(3a-2b)\cdots P((k-1)a-(k-2)b) = 0$ for every pair a, b of distinct elements in B_1. Taking $\alpha = 1/3$ in Lemma 2.1, we have $P(b_i) = 0$ for some $b_i \in B_1$, this is a contradiction. Hence

$$|B| \leqslant (2^{k-2}+1)|\mathfrak{M}_{1/3,q/(L_k,q)}|,$$

and the lemma follows. □

Lemma 2.3. *We have*

$$|\mathfrak{M}_{1/3,\,q}| \leqslant q^n \mathfrak{A}(q)^n.$$

Proof. Write $\xi_i = \lambda_i/(q-1)$, and we regard ξ_i as random variables uniformly distributed in the set

$$\Big\{0, \frac{1}{q-1}, \frac{2}{q-1}, \dots, \frac{q-1}{q-1}\Big\}.$$

Then

$$\frac{|\mathfrak{M}_{1/3,\,q}|}{q^n} = \mathbf{Pr}\Big(\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \leqslant n/3\Big) = \mathbf{Pr}\Big(x^{\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i} \geqslant x^{n/3}\Big) \tag{2.5}$$

for any $x \in (0,1)$. By Chernoff bound, we have

$$\frac{|\mathfrak{M}_{1/3,\,q}|}{q^n} \leqslant x^{-n/3}\mathbf{E}\Big[x^{\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i}\Big] = \Big(\prod_{i=1}^n x^{-1/3}\mathbf{E}[x^{\xi_i}]\Big).$$

On the other hand, from the uniform distribution of ξ_i $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant n)$, it follows that

$$x^{-1/3}\mathbf{E}[x^{\xi_i}] = \frac{1}{qx^{1/3}}\sum_{j=0}^{q-1} x^{\frac{j}{q-1}} = \frac{1-y^q}{q(1-y)y^{\frac{q-1}{3}}}$$

with $y = x^{\frac{1}{q-1}}$.

Hence we may conclude that

$$\frac{|\mathfrak{M}_{1/3,\,q}|}{q^n} \leqslant \Big(\frac{1-y^q}{q(1-y)y^{\frac{q-1}{3}}}\Big)^n.$$

The lemma then follows from the arbitrariness of x (and thus of y). □

3. **Proof of Theorem 1.1**

Now we give the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof. For $q=p^\alpha$, let A be a subset of $\mathbb{Z}_q^n$ free of k-term arithmetic progressions. By Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, for $q>(L_k,q)$ we have

$$|A| \leqslant (2^{k-2}+1)\cdot(L_k,q)^n|\mathfrak{M}_{1/3,\,\frac{q}{(L_k,q)}}| \leqslant (2^{k-2}+1)\cdot q^n\cdot\mathfrak{A}\Big(\frac{q}{(L_k,q)}\Big)^n.$$

For each positive integer v, using the tensor trick, the set $A\times A\times\cdots\times A\subseteq\mathbb{Z}_q^{vn}$ is k-term progression-free, and therefore

$$|A|^v \leqslant (2^{k-2}+1)\cdot q^{vn}\cdot\mathfrak{A}\Big(\frac{q}{(L_k,q)}\Big)^{vn}.$$

This implies Theorem 1.1 by letting v approach to infinity. □

4. **Proof of Corollary 1.1**

Now we give the proof of Corollary 1.1. Here q is not necessary to be a prime power and we thus suppose $q=\prod_{i=1}^l p_i^{\alpha_i}$ as the standard factorization of q.

Proof. (1) For $q\to+\infty$, we must have

$$M:=\max_{1\leqslant i\leqslant l} p_i^{\alpha_i}\to+\infty.$$

For large M, taking $x=1-\frac{\alpha}{M}$ with $\alpha=2.148$, we then have

$$\lim_{M\to+\infty}\frac{1-x^M}{M(1-x)x^{\frac{M-1}{3}}}=\lim_{M\to+\infty}\frac{1-(1-\frac{\alpha}{M})^M}{\alpha(1-\frac{\alpha}{M})^{\frac{M-1}{3}}}=\frac{e^{\alpha/3}-e^{-2\alpha/3}}{\alpha}<0.8415,$$

which yields $\mathfrak{A}(M)\leqslant 0.8415$ for all sufficiently large M. It follows that

$$r_k(\mathbb{Z}_q^n)\leqslant(q/M)^n r_k(\mathbb{Z}_M^n)\leqslant(q/M)^n M^n\mathfrak{A}(M)^n\leqslant(0.8415q)^n.$$

(2) For $x=1-\frac{\beta}{N}$, $\beta=1.6$, we have

$$\frac{1-x^N}{N(1-x)x^{\frac{N-1}{3}}}=\frac{1-(1-\frac{\beta}{N})^N}{\beta(1-\frac{\beta}{N})^{\frac{N-1}{3}}}=\frac{(1-\frac{\beta}{N})^{-\frac{N-1}{3}}-(1-\frac{\beta}{N})^{\frac{2N+1}{3}}}{\beta}.$$

When $N\geqslant 13$, the above quantity is at most

$$\frac{e^{\frac{N-1}{3}\frac{\beta/N}{1-\beta/N}}-e^{-\frac{2N+1}{3}\frac{\beta/N}{1-\beta/N}}}{\beta}\leqslant\frac{e^{\frac{4\beta}{13-\beta}}-e^{-\frac{9\beta}{13-\beta}}}{\beta}<0.92.$$

On the other hand, for all prime powers $N < 13$, we have the following list of explicit bounds for $\mathfrak{A}(N)$:

$$\mathfrak{A}(2) < 0.94495,\ \mathfrak{A}(3) < 0.9184,\ \mathfrak{A}(4) < 0.9027,\ \mathfrak{A}(5) < 0.8924,$$
$$\mathfrak{A}(7) < 0.8795,\ \mathfrak{A}(8) < 0.8753,\ \mathfrak{A}(9) < 0.8718,\ \mathfrak{A}(11) < 0.8667.$$

Hence we may state, for each prime power $N \geqslant 2$, that

$$\mathfrak{A}(N) < 0.945. \tag{4.1}$$

For $q > (L_k, q)$, there exists some prime power $p^\alpha \| \frac{q}{(L_k,q)}$. We may apply (4.1) with $N = p^\alpha$, getting

$$r_k(\mathbb{Z}_q^n) \leqslant (q/N)^n r_k(\mathbb{Z}_N^n) \leqslant (q/N)^n N^n \mathfrak{A}(N)^n \leqslant (0.945q)^n.$$

This establishes Corollary 1.1.

□

References

[1] M. Bateman and N. H. Katz, New bounds on cap sets, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **25** (2012), 585-613.

[2] T. F. Bloom, A quantitative improvement for Roth's theorem on arithmetic progressions, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **93** (2016), 643-663.

[3] J. Bourgain, On triples in arithmetic progression, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **9** (1999), 968-984.

[4] T. C. Brown and J. P. Buhler, A density version of a geometric Ramsey theorem, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A* **32** (1982), 20-34.

[5] E. Croot, V. F. Lev, and P. P. Pach, Progression-free sets in $\mathbb{Z}_4^n$ are exponentially small, *Ann. of Math.* **185** (2017), 331-337.

[6] J. S. Ellenberg and D. Gijswijt, On large subsets of $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ with no three-term arithmetic progression, *Ann. of Math.* **185** (2017), 339-343.

[7] D. R. Heath-Brown, Integer sets containing no arithmetic progressions, *J. London Math. Soc.* **35** (1987), 385-394.

[8] R. Meshulam, On subsets of finite abelian groups with no 3-term arithmetic progressions, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **71** (1995), 168-172.

[9] K. Roth, Sur quelques ensembles d'entiers, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **234** (1952), 388-390.

[10] K. Roth, On certain sets of integers, *J. London Math. Soc.* **28** (1953), 104-109.

[11] T. Sanders, Roth's theorem in $\mathbb{Z}_4^n$, *Anal. PDE* bf 2 (2009), 211-234.

[12] T. Sanders, On Roth's theorem on progressions, *Ann. of Math.* **174** (2011), 619-636.

[13] T. Sanders, On certain other sets of integers, *J. Anal. Math.* **116** (2012), 53-82.

[14] E. Szemerédi, Integer sets containing no arithmetic progressions, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **56** (1990), 155-158.

Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, People's Republic of China

E-mail address: lihz@sjtu.edu.cn